

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,283	03/22/2004	Kin Yu Wong	403022	8976
23548	7590 11/09/2004		EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW			FISHMAN, MARINA	
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005-3960		2832	

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			un
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Aidieus Communication	10/805,283	WONG, KIN YU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marina Fishman	2832	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matt	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdredstar is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to restriction and subject to restriction.	awn from consideration.	•	
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
	ccepted or b) objected to		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the !			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have béen received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
•		,	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview 5	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/805,283 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

General status

1. This is a First Action on the Merits. Claims 1 - 11 are pending in the case and are being examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Piber [3,829,645].

Piber discloses a trigger assembly for an electric power tool, comprising:

- a switch [2] for electrical connection to a power tool (power tool is not positively recited), for controlling operation of the power tool;
- a trigger [6] coupled with the switch, the trigger having an upper and lower ends and being pivotable about the upper end [18], between an outer position in which the switch is open and an inner position in which the switch is closed [Figures 1 and 3]
- a locking member [18, 18a] located adjacent the lower end for locking the trigger in the outer position, the locking member
 being movable from a locking position locking the trigger in the

Application/Control Number: 10/805,283

Art Unit: 2832

outer position to an unlocking position, releasing the trigger [Column 3, lines 25-55].

Regarding Claims 2 and 3, the locking member [18, 18a] is located inside the lower trigger end.

Regarding Claims 8-10, a fixture [hole at 20a, Figure 3] is disclosed for locking the trigger in outer position, the locking member [18a] being movable by pressing the knob 24 from locking position to an unlocking position. Regarding Claim 10 10, the hole [20a] is located on a projection of plate [20].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 – 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Piber [3,829,645] in viewq of Yeske [US 3,777,092].

Piber, discloses all the elements of the claimed invention including a spring [22], a release member [24] accessible on the outside of the trigger and in engagement with the trigger for manual movement of the locking member to the unlocking position against action of a spring [Claim 5], a rod [18] connecting the release member [24] to the locking member [18a] and the spring being disposed on the rod [Claim 6]; the release member comprises a knob [24] slidably supported on the trigger [Claim 7]. However, Piber does not disclose a spring located inside the lower end of the trigger.

Art Unit: 2832

Yeske [Figure 5] discloses a trigger for a tool, wherein the spring [102] is located inside the lower end of the trigger. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the trigger of Piber and provide spring inside the trigger as suggested by Yeske, so that the locking mechanism design is more compact.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The recitation of "a separate locking member located adjacent the upper end of the trigger for locking the trigger in the inner position" defines the Claim over the art of record.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reimann et al. [US 3,953,696], Park et al. [US 2,947,840], Sahrbacker [US 3,329,789), Suzuki [US 4,180,716), Cranmore [US 2,928,921] and Inuyama [US 5,012,057] all disclose design of trigger with locking mechanism.

Applicant also should consider these references in response to this office action.

Should issue arise concerning the rejection presented above, these references may be relied upon in a subsequent action to support the lack of novelty or obviousness of claimed subject matter to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,283 Page 5

Art Unit: 2832

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marina Fishman whose telephone number is 571-272-1991. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-5 M-T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marina Fishman November 3, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

11/04/04